
The Power of Media in Shaping Linguistic Behaviour and Language Development

Dr Vadirajacharya M. InamdarAssociate Professor, Department of English, Government First Grade College
Hubballi, Karnataka University, Dharwad, Karnataka

Article Received: 06/02/2026**Article Accepted:** 07/03/2026**Published Online:** 08/03/2026**DOI:**10.47311/IJOES.2026.8.03.78

Abstract:

Language has always been part of civilisation, but media technology has expedited its progress. Media nowadays is a strong cultural system that may change linguistic standards, communicative behaviour, and new linguistic patterns. This research critically investigates how conventional and digital media shape linguistic behaviour and current language practices. It examines how newspapers, television, films, advertisements, social media, instant messaging, online videos, and AI-driven platforms have influenced vocabulary formation, semantic extension, pronunciation norms, syntactic flexibility, literacy, and hybrid linguistic forms.

The paper uses sociolinguistic theories by David Crystal, Norman Fairclough, Janet Holmes, Deborah Cameron, and Manuel Castells, and digital discourse analyses by Thurlow, Mroczek, Danet, and Tagliamonte to show how media shapes linguistic identity and prestige and correctness. Media democratises language by legitimising code-mixing like Hinglish and preserves regional languages through local broadcast networks, film, and digital archives in multilingual India. This makes media homogenising and diversifying.

The study examines how emojis, GIFs, hashtags, viral phrases, and multimodal digital texts have changed reading practices. These advances defy grammar and literacy expectations and extend language expression. The media portrays some languages as symbols of modernity and social mobility and others as symbols of tradition or local identity. The media's multidimensional effect influences language change faster and more obviously than any other institution in history. The study indicates that media profoundly affect language development, producing new communication landscapes that require critical engagement, responsible representation, and continuing scholarly exploration.

Keywords: Media, Linguistic Behaviour, Digital Communication, Sociolinguistics, Code-Mixing, Globalization, Multimodality.

Introduction

Language is not just a set of signs; it is a social and cultural phenomenon that changes over time and is moulded by the things that affect how people interact with each other. In the twenty-first century, the media is one of these factors that has a unique amount of power. As people use mediated communication more and more, including TV, social networks, streaming services, and digital messaging, language becomes more and more connected to the way media works and the things it does. Traditionally, early language development happened by talking to people in person, such as family and friends. In the digital age, people mostly come across language through mediated encounters that change how they pronounce words, what words they use, how they structure sentences, and what literacy standards they follow, even before they start school. Children today frequently hear voices from screens prior to comprehending the voices of their caretakers, a circumstance that fundamentally reconfigures conventional theories of language development.

Media changes the way language looks and sounds, and it also changes how people feel about language by creating hierarchies of prestige. For instance, English gets symbolic capital by being shown all the time in global media, whereas regional languages get additional legitimacy via localised TV channels, movies, radio, and internet platforms. So, media works as both a globalising force that distributes prevailing linguistic standards and a localising force that keeps cultural differences. These conflicting tendencies render media a vital issue for academic investigation, particularly concerning linguistic behaviour and language development. This study examines the complex influence of media on linguistic conduct, utilising ideas from sociolinguistics, media studies, discourse analysis, and digital communication.

Background of the Study

The printing press, which was invented in the 15th century, standardized written language and made it possible for large groups of people to use the same kinds of language. Benedict Anderson stressed that print capitalism helped establish “imagined communities” by encouraging everyone to use the same language (Anderson 44). In the same way, the emergence of newspapers in the 1700s and 1800s helped make national languages stronger, reduce dialectal differences, and make the idea of authoritative grammar stronger. Another language change happened when radio came out in the 20th century. Radio presenters generally followed established pronunciation rules, including Received Pronunciation in Britain or General American English in the United States. Over time, these rules were linked to status, accuracy, and professionalism (Mugglestone 102). Television made this effect even stronger by combining speech and picture, adding visual clues and body language that changed how people communicated.

The digital revolution that started in the late 1900s changed the way people communicate with each other in a big way. The internet, mobile communication, and social networking changed language into a system that is multimodal, visual, interactive, and always changing. Castells says that “technology does not determine society; it expresses it” (Castells 5). Digital media, thus, mirrors current societal processes while concurrently transforming them. Online communication has brought up unusual language phenomena, including acronyms (LOL, BRB), emoticons, GIFs, memes, and viral hashtags, all of which contribute to novel modalities of meaning-making.

In multilingual cultures like India, the effects of media growth on language are very important. Digital platforms enable the simultaneous presence of English, Hindi, and many regional languages, frequently within a singular communication environment. Research conducted by Kachru and Agnihotri indicates that Indian English and regional languages often intermingle in media contexts, resulting in hybrid forms that signify the changing linguistic identity of urban India (Kachru 79; Agnihotri 61). This context establishes the basis for examining the modern influence of media on language conduct.

Review of Literature

Academic literature about media and language development encompasses several areas. David Crystal is still one of the most important people in digital linguistics. In his book *Language and the Internet*, he says that the internet is a linguistic revolution because it is creative, flexible, and has created “Netspeak,” a mix of speech and writing (Crystal 19). Crystal emphasises that digital communication contests conventional linguistic standards while augmenting expressive potential.

Naomi Baron's study on digitally mediated communication shows that texting and instant messaging cause syntactic compression and punctuation reduction, which creates new linguistic rules that are very different from formal written language (Baron 88). Baron stresses how younger speakers are slowly getting used to using digital shorthand. Norman Fairclough's *Language and Power* provide an understanding of how the media creates linguistic ideologies through repeating patterns of discourse that influence how people think about correctness, status, and identity (Fairclough 54). Fairclough contends that the media plays a pivotal role in the creation of hegemonic linguistic standards, which dictate the valuation and marginalisation of languages.

Deborah Cameron's research delves into the media's role in shaping “verbal hygiene,” a concept she employs to characterise social efforts to regulate language (Cameron 6). She observes that the media promotes specific linguistic habits while denouncing others, thereby shaping public conceptions of standard language. Thurlow and Mroczek's edited

volume Digital Discourse looks at how young people use language on the internet. It shows how social media communication breaks down traditional language structures and creates new linguistic identities (Thurlow and Mroczek 12). Their research shows that digital communication encourages creativity, identity negotiation, and social belonging.

Susan Herring's research on computer-mediated discourse underscores the creation of gendered language patterns online, the utilisation of multimodal communication, and the proliferation of platform-specific linguistic microcultures (Herring 5). In the Indian context, researchers like Asha Sarangi, R. K. Agnihotri, Braj Kachru, and Rita Kothari have stressed how important the media is for the growth of Indian English, the acceptance of code-mixing, and the protection of regional linguistic identities. Kothari observes that Indian media frequently amalgamates English with local languages to attract a wider audience, resulting in a "linguistic hybridity" that embodies modern Indian identity (Kothari 214). These researchers together demonstrate that media not only disseminate language forms but also actively generate new patterns of linguistic activity.

Conceptual Framework

This study employs a sociolinguistic and media-communication framework to examine the impact of media on linguistic behaviour. The initial element of this approach perceives media as a catalyst for standardisation. Traditional media, particularly newspapers, television news, and radio broadcasting, uphold formal language conventions through the continuous use of standardised spelling, pronunciation, and grammar. This corresponds with Haugen's framework of language standardisation, which highlights selection, codification, elaboration, and acceptability as fundamental processes (Haugen 93). The media is an important part of all of these processes.

A second part of the framework sees media as a way to change language. Digital communication encourages dynamic, adaptable, and inventive language usage, resulting in the emergence of novel slang, acronyms, and symbolic phrases. This phenomenon corresponds with Halliday's idea of language as a social semiotic, suggesting that linguistic innovation addresses the communication requirements of a society (Halliday 23).

The final part is about hybridisation. In civilisations with several languages, the media promotes people to mix languages a lot. This hybridisation exemplifies the postmodern view of identity as fluid, dynamic, and shaped by global cultural currents (Pieterse 67).

A fourth component pertains to the media's formation of linguistic identity. This study, based on Bourdieu's theory of linguistic capital, sees media as a place that gives some languages more symbolic value than others (Bourdieu 45). The media frequently portrays English as

international and contemporary, while depicting local languages as archaic or entrenched, thus shaping linguistic desires.

The last part talks about multimodal literacy. Digital media broadens the idea of literacy to encompass audiovisual and symbolic forms of communication, not only written text. Gunther Kress contends that contemporary communication should be perceived as multimodal, integrating textual, visual, gestural, and spatial forms (Kress 1). Media propels this multimodal transition. These theoretical ideas collectively constitute the foundation of the analysis articulated in this work.

Analysis and Discussion

Media affects how people use language on many levels, starting with vocabulary. Digital platforms are quickly spreading new words and phrases. Terms like “selfie,” “viral,” “unsubscribe,” “fake news,” and “trending” have not only become part of the global language, but they have also changed the meanings of earlier terms. There are whole sets of words that come from social media, such as “likes,” “followers,” “stories,” and “influencers.” These terms serve as cultural indicators of digital engagement, demonstrating how media influences the construction of meaning in modern culture.

Film dialogues also help spread language. Movies from Bollywood and Hollywood often come up with catchphrases that people use in their everyday lives. A phrase like “All is well” from the movie *3 Idiots* or “Winter is coming” from *Game of Thrones* becomes a shorthand for cultural knowledge. Ads also change the words people use. For example, phrases like “Daag ache hain” or “Dil Maange More” add to language hybridity and cultural memory.

Exposure to media also affects how people pronounce words. English-language media are the most popular in the world, and they influence how people who don't speak English pronounce words worldwide. Hollywood films, streaming services, and social media influencers have made American English more popular in India. This change in how people say things often reflects how they want to be seen in the world. Mugglestone says that pronunciation is a way to show status and desire (Mugglestone 121).

There are big changes in grammar and syntax when people talk to each other online. Microblogging sites like Twitter are becoming more popular, which encourages short, to-the-point writing. People often leave off articles, pronouns, and punctuation. These activities do not signify linguistic degradation, as prescriptive grammarians frequently contend, but rather exemplify functional adaptations to communicative situations, consistent with

Fairclough's conception of language as a socially contingent activity (Fairclough 112). The change in how people read and write is probably the most obvious way the media affects language. Emojis serve as graphic ideograms, conveying emotions, sentiments, and meanings that words alone fail to encapsulate. Danesi contends that emojis represent a novel "visual language" that enhances and occasionally supplants spoken communication (Danesi 14). GIFs and memes function in a similar manner: they utilize visual symbols to convey cultural sentiments. These multimodal forms challenge traditional literacy paradigms and expand the semiotic resources available to speakers.

The effect of media on linguistic identity is especially clear among young people. Young individuals increasingly delineate their identities through online language activities, including hashtag use, internet slang, and platform-specific dialects. Tagliamonte notes that teenagers use new language elements to show that they belong to a group and a culture (Tagliamonte 66). This corresponds with Bucholtz and Hall's conceptualisation of identity as formed via linguistic activity (Bucholtz and Hall 9). In cultures with several languages, the media promotes code-mixing and hybridisation. Hinglish, a mix of English and Hindi, is becoming a common way for people to talk to each other in India, especially in cities. The language hybridity seen in ads, reality programs, and internet material mirrors the actual linguistic experiences of speakers. Kothari contends that this hybridity does not indicate linguistic impurity but signifies a creative negotiation of language resources (Kothari 217). The media also helps keep linguistic variety alive. Regional films, FM radio stations, local TV channels, and digital platforms that are based in the community help keep languages alive that could otherwise be pushed to the side by globalization. Linguists, including UNESCO experts, stress that media is very important for bringing back endangered languages by making digital archives that are easy to find and encouraging people of different generations to pass them on (UNESCO 12). At the same time, the media can make language differences worse by pushing certain languages more than others. People typically think of English-language media as smart, contemporary, and a good way to make money. On the other hand, people may think of regional languages as rustic or archaic. These ideological structures mould language attitudes and affect the linguistic ambitions of speakers. In the end, the effect of media on language use shows that there is a dialectical relationship in which media both shape and is affected by the way people use language in society.

Implications of Media Influence

The influence of media on language acquisition has significant pedagogical ramifications. Teachers have to deal with classrooms where students are good at using digital registers but may not be as good at using conventional academic registers. This necessitates teaching strategies that recognise digital literacy while emphasising grammatical precision. The language identities created by media affect self-esteem, social belonging, and cultural

identification in a psychological way. The media protects and changes linguistic history at the same time, making a fluid line between tradition and modernity. Voice assistants, AI chatbots, and speech-to-text systems are some of the new technologies that need to keep up with changing language rules set by the media.

Conclusion

The media plays a major role in how people use language and how language grows. It affects how words are formed, how accents are used, how flexible syntax is, how hybrid languages are made, and how literacy activities that use more than one mode are used. The media shapes linguistic identity, gives particular linguistic forms prominence, and makes language more democratic by making other registers and dialects more visible. The media encourages creativity and opens up new ways to use language, but it also questions old ideas about what is “correct” language and how language should be structured. To understand how people talk to each other now, you need to know that media-driven language change may be both creative and destructive. As AI, virtual reality, and algorithm-driven content change the media, their effect on language will get stronger. Mediated contact will have a bigger and bigger impact on language in the future. This means that researchers, teachers, legislators, and people all need to think critically about how the media affects language.

Recommendations

Because the media has a complicated effect on language, society needs to take a balanced and forward-looking attitude. Schools should include media literacy in their lessons so that students can better understand how language is evolving quickly. Media companies need to use language-inclusive strategies that respect the variety of regional languages while also recognising global language trends. To protect linguistic variety, government agencies should help produce media in regional languages. Communities ought to promote bilingualism and multilingualism not as rival systems but as synergistic resources that enhance communication. Finally, continuous academic study must investigate emergent language activities, such as emoji grammar, digital storytelling, AI-mediated communication, and platform-specific dialect development, to guarantee that linguistic change is systematically documented and comprehended.

Works Cited

Agnihotri, R. K. India: Language Matters, Debates, and Discourses. Orient Blackswan, 2014.

Anderson, Benedict. Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism. Verso, 2006.

Baron, Naomi. Always On: Language in an Online and Mobile World. Oxford UP, 2008.

-
- Bourdieu, Pierre. *Language and Symbolic Power*. Harvard UP, 1991.
- Bucholtz, Mary, and Kira Hall. "Identity and Interaction." *Discourse Studies*, vol. 7, no. 4–5, 2005, pp. 585–614.
- Cameron, Deborah. *Verbal Hygiene*. Routledge, 1995.
- Castells, Manuel. *The Rise of the Network Society*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.
- Crystal, David. *Language and the Internet*. Cambridge UP, 2011.
- Danesi, Marcel. *The Semiotics of Emoji: The Rise of Visual Language in the Age of the Internet*. Bloomsbury, 2017.
- Fairclough, Norman. *Language and Power*. 3rd ed., Routledge, 2014.
- Halliday, M. A. K. *Language as Social Semiotic*. Edward Arnold, 1978.
- Haugen, Einar. "Dialect, Language, Nation." *American Anthropologist*, vol. 68, no. 4, 1966, pp. 922–935.
- Herring, Susan. "Computer-Mediated Discourse Analysis." *The Handbook of Discourse Analysis*, edited by Schiffrin et al., Blackwell, 2001, pp. 612–634.
- Holmes, Janet. *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics*. Routledge, 2013.
- Kachru, Braj B. *The Indianization of English*. Oxford UP, 1992.
- Kothari, Rita. *Translating India: The Cultural Politics of English*. Foundation Books, 2003.
- Kress, Gunther. *Multimodality: A Social Semiotic Approach to Contemporary Communication*. Routledge, 2010.
- Mugglestone, Lynda. *Talking Proper: The Rise of Accent as Social Symbol*. Oxford UP, 2003.
- Pieterse, Jan Nederveen. *Globalization and Culture: Global Mélange*. Rowman & Littlefield, 2009.
- Sarangi, Asha. *Language and Politics in India*. Oxford UP, 2009.
- Tagliamonte, Sali. *Teen Talk: The Language of Adolescents*. Cambridge UP, 2016.
- Thurlow, Crispin, and Kristine Mroczek, editors. *Digital Discourse: Language in the New Media*. Oxford UP, 2011.
- UNESCO. *Atlas of the World's Languages in Danger*. UNESCO Publishing, 2011.